arXiv:quant-ph/0105047v1 11 May 2001

Variational Sturmian Approximation: A nonperturbative method of solving time-independent Schrödinger equation

Ali Mostafazadeh*

Department of Mathematics, Koç University,
Rumelifeneri Yolu, 80910 Sariyer, Istanbul, TURKEY

Abstract

A variationally improved Sturmian approximation for solving time-independent Schrödinger equation is developed. This approximation is used to obtain the energy levels of a quartic anharmonic oscillator, a quartic potential, and a Gaussian potential. The results are compared with those of the perturbation theory, the WKB approximation, and the accurate numerical values.

I. Introduction

Since the early days of quantum mechanics, the main technical tools for solving the time-independent Schrödinger equation have been the time-independent perturbation theory, the semiclassical or WKB approximation, and the variational method [1, 2]. Starting form the late 1950's, physical chemists and nuclear physicists have explored the use of what is called the *Sturmian basis functions* in solving this equation for a variety of potentials arising in molecular and atomic physics [3, 4]. Recently, Antonsen [5] and Szmytkowski and Zywicka-Mozeiko [6] have studied the harmonic oscillator Sturmian functions. The purpose of the present article is to outline a general variationally improved Sturmian approximation scheme that provides a nonperturbative method of solving time-independent Schrödinger equation.

The organization of the article is as follows. In section II, we give the definition of the Sturmian basis vectors, derive their general properties, and discuss the conventional Sturmian approximation. In section III, we present an improved Sturmian approximation which makes use of the variational method. In section IV, we study the harmonic oscillator Sturmians and use them for the solution of time-independent Schrödinger equation in one dimension. In section V, we apply our general results to some concrete problems, and compare our results with those obtained using perturbation theory, the WKB approximation, and the highly accurate numerical investigations. In particular, we obtain the energy levels of a quartic anharmonic oscillator, a quartic potential, and a Gaussian potential. Finally, in section VI, we summarize our results and present our conclusions.

II. Conventional Sturmian Approximation

Consider the time-independent Schrödinger equation:

$$H|E,a\rangle = E|E,a\rangle \ , \tag{1}$$

*E-mail address: amostafazadeh@ku.edu.tr

where H is a self-adjoint Hamiltonian operator and a is a degeneracy label.

The method of Sturmian approximation is based on an expansion of the eigenvectors $|E,a\rangle$ in terms of solutions $|\phi_\nu,\alpha\rangle$ of the equation

$$(H_0+\beta_\nu V_0)|\phi_\nu,\alpha\rangle=\mathcal{E}|\phi_\nu,\alpha\rangle\,, \tag{2}$$

where H_0 and V_0 are self-adjoint operators, β_ν and $\mathcal{E}$ are real scalar parameters, and α is a degeneracy label. Note that in order to obtain $|\phi_\nu,\alpha\rangle$, one must fix $\mathcal{E}$ and solve Eq. (2) for $|\phi_\nu,\alpha\rangle$. Clearly, every solution $|\phi_\nu,\alpha\rangle$ would correspond to a choice for the value of the 'coupling constant' β_ν.

Suppose that $H_0=\vec{p}^{\,2}/(2m)$ is the Hamiltonian for a free particle moving in the configuration space $\mathbb{R}^d$, $V_0=V_0(\vec{x})$ is a real interaction potential, and H is a standard Hamiltonian of the form

$$H=\frac{\vec{p}^{\,2}}{2m}+V(\vec{x})\,, \tag{3}$$

where $\vec{p}$ and $\vec{x}$ are momentum and position operators, respectively. If $V_0(\vec{x})$ tends to infinity as $|\vec{x}|\to\infty$, all the eigenstates of V_0 are bound states [7]. In this case, only for a discrete set of positive values of β_ν, can we find square-integrable solutions $|\phi_\nu,\alpha\rangle$ of Eq. (2). In this case, the label ν will take values in a discrete set which we shall choose to be $\{0,1,2,\cdots\}$.

The only difference between Eq. (2) and the eigenvalue equation for the potential $\beta_\nu V_0(\vec{x})$ is that in the former $\mathcal{E}$ is a fixed parameter which can be arbitrarily chosen. Therefore, a solution of Eq. (2) corresponds to a pair $(\beta_\nu,|\phi_\nu,\alpha\rangle)$.

The vectors $|\phi_\nu,\alpha\rangle$ are called the *Sturmian basis vectors* or simply the *Sturmians* [3]. They satisfy certain orthonormality conditions which we shall derive below. We should, however, note that the square-integrable Sturmians do not generally constitute a complete set of basis vectors of the Hilbert space [6]. There are certain potentials V_0, such as the Coulomb potential, that lead to a complete set of square-integrable Sturmians [8].

Let us first note that the defining equation (2) does not determine $|\phi_\nu,\alpha\rangle$ uniquely. This is reflected in the presence of the degeneracy label α. What is uniquely determined by Eq. (2) is the degeneracy subspace $\mathcal{H}_\nu$ spanned by $\{|\phi_\nu,1\rangle,|\phi_\nu,2\rangle,\cdots,|\phi_\nu,\ell_\nu\rangle\}$, where ℓ_ν is the degree of degeneracy, i.e., the number of linearly independent solutions of Eq. (2) associated with a given (admissible) value of β_ν. Clearly, we can construct an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}_\nu$ and choose the Sturmian vectors $|\phi_\nu,\alpha\rangle$ to be the basis vectors. In other words, without loss of generality, we can choose to work with the Sturmians $|\phi_\nu,\alpha\rangle$ satisfying

$$\langle\phi_\nu,\alpha|\phi_\nu,\gamma\rangle=\delta_{\alpha\gamma}\,, \tag{4}$$

where $\delta_{\alpha\gamma}$ denotes the Kronecker delta function. Clearly, any unitary transformation of $\mathcal{H}_\nu$ would lead to a new set of Sturmians satisfying (4). Therefore, the condition (4) reduces the freedom in the choice of the Sturmians $|\phi_\nu,\alpha\rangle$, but does not eliminate it.

Next, we evaluate the Hermitian adjoint of both sides of Eq. (2), change (ν,α) to (μ,γ), and take the inner product of both sides of the resulting equation with $|\phi_\nu,\alpha\rangle$. This yields

$$\beta_\mu\langle\phi_\mu,\gamma|V_0|\phi_\nu,\alpha\rangle=\langle\phi_\mu,\gamma|(\mathcal{E}-H_0)|\phi_\nu,\alpha\rangle\,. \tag{5}$$

We can compute the right-hand side of this equation using Eq. (2). Substituting the result in (5), we find

$$(\beta_\mu-\beta_\nu)\langle\phi_\mu,\gamma|V_0|\phi_\nu,\alpha\rangle=0\,. \tag{6}$$

If we define

$$N_\nu^{\gamma\alpha}:=\langle\phi_\nu,\gamma|V_0|\phi_\nu,\alpha\rangle\,, \tag{7}$$

then we can write Eq. (6) in the form

$$\langle \phi_\mu, \gamma | V_0 | \phi_\nu, \alpha \rangle = N_\nu^{\gamma\alpha} \delta_{\mu\nu} \ . \tag{8}$$

Eq. (8) is the desired orthogonality property of the Sturmians. We can further simplify Eq. (8), by noting that the $\ell_\nu \times \ell_\nu$ matrix N_ν formed by $N_\nu^{\gamma\alpha}$ is a Hermitian matrix. This means that we can choose $|\phi_\nu, \alpha\rangle$ in such a way that N_ν is a diagonal matrix. Making this choice, we have

$$N_\nu^{\alpha\gamma} = N_\nu^\alpha \delta_{\alpha\gamma} \ , \tag{9}$$

$$\langle \phi_\mu, \gamma | V_0(\vec{x}) | \phi_\nu, \alpha \rangle = N_\nu^\alpha \delta_{\mu\nu} \delta_{\alpha\gamma} \ , \tag{10}$$

where N_α^ν, with $\alpha \in \{1, 2, \cdots, \ell_\nu\}$, are eigenvalues of the matrix N_ν. Since N_ν is Hermitian, N_ν^α are real.

In summary, we can choose a set of Sturmian vectors $|\phi_\nu, \alpha\rangle$ which are eigenvectors of the matrices N_ν. Therefore, for each value of ν, $\{|\phi_\nu, 1\rangle, \cdots, |\phi_\nu, \ell_\nu\rangle\}$ forms an orthonormal eigenbasis of N_ν in the degeneracy subspace $\mathcal{H}_\nu$. However, $|\phi_\nu, \alpha\rangle$ with different values of ν are not orthogonal. Instead, they satisfy a modified orthogonality condition, namely (10).

Now, let us expand a solution $|E, a\rangle$ of the Schrödinger equation (1), in a Sturmian basis corresponding to a 'solvable' potential V_0, i.e., seek solutions of the form

$$|E, a\rangle = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\ell_\nu} C_\nu^\alpha |\phi_\nu, \alpha\rangle \ , \tag{11}$$

where C_ν^α are complex coefficients and ν is supposed to take discrete values $0, 1, 2, \cdots$. Note that if the Sturmians $|\phi_\nu, \alpha\rangle$ do not form a complete basis, then Eq. (11) yields the eigenvectors that belong to the span of $|\phi_\nu, \alpha\rangle$.

The *Sturmian Approximation of order N* is the approximation in which one neglects all the coefficients C_ν^α in Eq. (11) but those with ν belonging to a subset $\mathcal{S}_{N+1}$ of nonnegative integers of order $N+1$. Alternatively, in considering the Sturmian approximation of order N, one confines the range of the indices (of type) ν to a fixed finite set $\mathcal{S}_{N+1}$. In this way, the infinite sum $\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \cdots$ in Eq. (11) is replaced by the finite sum $\sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{S}_{N+1}} \cdots$. We shall abbreviate the latter by $\sum_\nu$. The set $\mathcal{S}_{N+1}$ may, in principle, be chosen arbitrarily. We will comment on this choice in section III.

Substituting (11) in the Schrödinger equation (1) and making use of Eqs. (2) and (3), we find

$$\sum_\nu \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\ell_\nu} C_\nu^\alpha \left(E - \mathcal{E} - V + \beta_\nu V_0\right) |\phi_\nu, \alpha\rangle = 0. \tag{12}$$

Now, evaluating the inner product of both sides of this equation with $|\phi_\mu, \gamma\rangle$ and using the orthogonality relation (10), we obtain

$$\sum_\nu \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\ell_\nu} \left[(E - \mathcal{E}) T_{\mu\nu}^{\gamma\alpha} - (W_{\mu\nu}^{\gamma\alpha} - \beta_\nu N_\nu^\alpha \delta_{\mu\nu} \delta_{\gamma\alpha})\right] C_\nu^\alpha = 0 \ . \tag{13}$$

Here we have introduced

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{\gamma\alpha} := \langle \phi_\mu, \gamma | \phi_\nu, \alpha \rangle \ , \tag{14}$$

$$W_{\mu\nu}^{\gamma\alpha} := \langle \phi_\mu, \gamma | V | \phi_\nu, \alpha \rangle \ . \tag{15}$$

We can express Eq. (13) in a more compact form, if we use a single label for the pair (ν, α). Introducing $\mathcal{N} := (\nu, \alpha)$ and $\mathcal{M} := (\mu, \gamma)$, we write Eq. (13) in the form

$$\sum_{\mathcal{N}} \left[(E - \mathcal{E}) T_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}} - S_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}}\right] C_{\mathcal{N}} = 0 \ , \tag{16}$$

where

$$T_{\mathcal{MN}} = T^{\gamma\alpha}_{\mu\nu} , \quad S_{\mathcal{MN}} = W_{\mathcal{MN}} - \beta_\nu N_{\mathcal{N}} \delta_{\mathcal{MN}} , \tag{17}$$

$$W_{\mathcal{MN}} = W^{\gamma\alpha}_{\mu\nu} , \quad N_{\mathcal{N}} = N^{\alpha}_{\nu} . \tag{18}$$

Eqs. (16) form a linear system of homogeneous first order algebraic equations for $C_{\mathcal{N}}$. This system has a nontrivial solution provided that the determinant of the matrix of coefficients vanishes, i.e.,

$$\det\left[(E-\mathcal{E})T - S\right] = 0 . \tag{19}$$

Here T and S are matrices with entries $T_{\mathcal{MN}}$ and $S_{\mathcal{MN}}$, respectively.

Solving Eq. (19), we can express E in terms of $\mathcal{E}$, β_ν, and the Sturmians $|\phi_\nu, \alpha\rangle$. Now, we recall that for a fixed choice of V_0, the coupling constants β_ν and the corresponding Sturmians $|\phi_\nu, \alpha\rangle$ depend on the parameter $\mathcal{E}$. Therefore, Eq. (19) yields E as a function of $\mathcal{E}$. Furthermore, substituting the value of $E = E(\mathcal{E})$ obtained by solving (19) in (16) and solving for the coefficients $C_{\mathcal{N}}$, we obtain an expression for the eigenvector $|E, a\rangle$ that involves $\mathcal{E}$. As we discuss in the following section, the fact that the Sturmian approximation yields the eigenvalues and the eigenvectors of the Hamiltonian as functions of a free parameter seems to have been overlooked. This is mainly because there is a choice for the parameter $\mathcal{E}$ that simplifies the calculations.

It should also be emphasized that Eq. (19) is an algebraic equation of order $N+1$. Therefore, in general, it has $N+1$ solutions. This can be understood by noting that in the Sturmian approximation one actually approximates the Hilbert space by a finite-dimensional vector space. Consequently, the Hamiltonian is replaced with a matrix with a finite number of eigenvalues.

III. Variational Sturmian Approximation

In general, the accuracy of the Sturmian approximation depends on the following factors.

1. Choice of V_0: In practice, V_0 must be one of the exactly solvable potentials. Therefore, the available choices for V_0 are few in number. For the potentials V with bound states, we can choose V_0 to be a harmonic oscillator potential. For example, for the quartic anharmonic oscillator

$$V(x) = \frac{k}{2}\, x^2 + \epsilon\, x^4 , \tag{20}$$

we shall take

$$V_0(x) = \frac{k}{2}\, x^2 . \tag{21}$$

Similarly, for the Gaussian potential

$$V(x) = -\lambda e^{-\epsilon x^2/2} , \tag{22}$$

we shall take

$$V_0(x) = \frac{1}{2}\lambda\epsilon x^2 - \lambda . \tag{23}$$

This will enable us to compare the results of the Sturmian approximation with those of the perturbation theory, for in the limit $\epsilon \to 0$, $V(r) \to V_0(r)$. Note that multiplying V_0 by a positive real number does not change the results of the Sturmian approximation. This is simply because we can always absorb such a number in the definition of β_ν.

2. Choice of the Sturmians included in the sum (16): This is also directly related to the choice of the potential V_0. If V_0 is obtained from V by a limiting process as in the case of the potentials (20) and

(22), then a natural choice for the computation of the n-th energy eigenvalue E_n and the corresponding eigenvectors $|E_n, \alpha\rangle$ is to include the $|\phi_\nu, \alpha\rangle$ with ν equal or close to n. In particular, in the Sturmian approximation of order zero, we have

$$|E_n, a\rangle = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\ell_n} C_n^\alpha |\phi_n, \alpha\rangle \; . \tag{24}$$

3. Choice of the parameter $\mathcal{E}$: The conventional choice [4, 5] for the parameter $\mathcal{E}$ is $\mathcal{E} = E$. This simplifies Eq. (19) considerably. The basic idea pursued in this article is the fact that this simplification does not necessarily justify the conventional choice for $\mathcal{E}$.

It is well-known [2] that the eigenvalue equation (1) is equivalent to the variational equation

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta\langle\psi|}\left(\frac{\langle\psi|H|\psi\rangle}{\langle\psi|\psi\rangle}\right) = 0 \; . \tag{25}$$

In other words, the eigenvalues E are the minima of the expectation value

$$\langle H\rangle := \frac{\langle\psi|H|\psi\rangle}{\langle\psi|\psi\rangle} \, ,$$

and the eigenvectors are the vectors $|E\rangle = |E, a\rangle$ that minimize $\langle H\rangle$. This observation suggests that the most efficient choice for the parameter $\mathcal{E}$ appearing in the Sturmian approximation is the one that minimizes $E = E(\mathcal{E})$. Therefore, the most reliable Sturmian approximation is obtained by choosing $\mathcal{E}$ to be a solution of

$$\frac{dE}{d\mathcal{E}} = 0 \; . \tag{26}$$

If this equation does not have a solution, then one must either make another choice for the set $\mathcal{S}_{N+1}$ or proceed with a higher order Sturmian approximation.

IV. Variational Sturmian Approximation Using Harmonic Oscillator Sturmians

Consider a quantum system with the configuration space $\mathbb{R}$, a standard Hamiltonian (3), and a real-valued potential $V = V(x)$. Suppose that the system has an infinite number of bound states with nondegenerate energy eigenvalues E_n. Here $n \in \{0, 1, 2, \cdots\}$ and E_0 stands for the ground state.

Now, consider the Sturmian basis vectors associated with a harmonic oscillator [5, 6],

$$V_0 = V_0(x) = \frac{k}{2}\, x^2 \; . \tag{27}$$

In order to solve Eq. (2) for this choice of V_0, we introduce

$$\omega_\nu := \left(\frac{\beta_\nu k}{m}\right)^{1/2} , \tag{28}$$

$$\alpha_\nu := \frac{m\omega_\nu}{\hbar} \, , \tag{29}$$

$$a_\nu := \left(\frac{\alpha_\nu}{2}\right)^{1/2}\left(x + \frac{ip}{\hbar\alpha_\nu}\right) \; . \tag{30}$$

$$|\ell\rangle_\nu := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell!}}\, a_\nu^{\dagger\ell}|0\rangle_\nu \; , \tag{31}$$

where $|0\rangle_\nu$ is the normalized real ground state vector for a harmonic oscillator with mass m and frequency ω_ν. That is

$$\langle x|0\rangle_\nu := \left(\frac{\alpha_\nu}{\pi}\right)^{1/4} e^{-\alpha_\nu x^2/2}. \tag{32}$$

In view of the similarity of Eq. (2) with the eigenvalue equation for the potential $\beta_\nu V_0$, we can easily deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E} &= \hbar\omega_\nu(\nu+\frac{1}{2})\,, & (33)\\ |\phi_\nu\rangle &= |\nu\rangle_\nu & (34) \end{aligned}$$

where $\nu \in \{0,1,2,\cdots\}$.

We can invert Eqs. (33) and (28) to express ω_ν and β_ν in terms of $\mathcal{E}$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_\nu &= \frac{2\mathcal{E}}{\hbar(2\nu+1)}\,, & (35)\\ \beta_\nu &= \frac{4m\mathcal{E}^2}{\hbar^2 k(2\nu+1)^2}\,. & (36) \end{aligned}$$

Substituting Eq. (35) in (29), we have

$$\alpha_\nu = \frac{2m\mathcal{E}}{\hbar^2(2\nu+1)} = \frac{\alpha_0}{2\nu+1}\,. \tag{37}$$

Next, we compute the term $\beta_\nu N_\nu = \beta_\nu\langle\phi_\nu|V_0|\phi_\nu\rangle$. We can use the properties of the annihilation operator a_ν, namely

$$a_\nu|\ell\rangle_\nu = \sqrt{\ell}|\ell-1\rangle_\nu\,, \quad a_\nu^\dagger|\ell\rangle_\nu = \sqrt{\ell+1}|\ell+1\rangle_\nu\,, \quad x = (2\alpha_\nu)^{-1/2}(a_\nu + a_\nu^\dagger), \tag{38}$$

and the orthonormality of $|\ell\rangle_\nu$ to compute

$${}_\nu\langle\ell|x^2|\nu\rangle_\nu = (2\alpha_\nu)^{-1}\left[(2\nu+1)\delta_{\ell,\nu} + \sqrt{(\nu+1)(\nu+2)}\,\delta_{\ell,\nu+2} + \sqrt{\nu(\nu-1)}\,\delta_{\ell,\nu-2}\right]\,. \tag{39}$$

In view of Eqs. (27) and (39), we obtain, after some remarkable simplifications,

$$\beta_\nu N_\nu = \frac{\mathcal{E}}{2}\,. \tag{40}$$

Variational Sturmian Approximation of Order Zero

For the variational Sturmian approximation of order zero, $\nu = n$, and Eq. (19) takes the form

$$(E_n - \mathcal{E})T - S = 0\,, \tag{41}$$

where

$$T = \langle\phi_n|\phi_n\rangle = 1\,, \quad S = W - \beta_n N_n\,, \quad W = \langle\phi_n|V|\phi_n\rangle\,. \tag{42}$$

According to Eqs. (41), (42), and (40), the energy eigenvalues E_n are given by

$$E_n = W + \frac{\mathcal{E}}{2}\,. \tag{43}$$

Next, we fix the parameter $\mathcal{E}$ using Eq. (26). This requires the computation of $dW/d\mathcal{E}$. We first evaluate the variation of W,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta W &= (\delta\langle\phi_n|)V|\phi_n\rangle + \langle\phi_n|V(\delta|\phi_n\rangle)\\ &= 2\langle\phi_n|V(\delta|\phi_n\rangle)\\ &= 2\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} {}_n\langle n|V|\ell\rangle_n\ {}_n\langle\ell|(\delta|n\rangle_n)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

Here we have made use of Eq. (34), the fact that the Sturmians and the potential V are real and $|\ell\rangle_n$ form a complete set of basis vectors.

We can compute ${}_n\langle\ell|(\delta|n\rangle_n)$ using the eigenvalue equation

$$(H_0 + \beta_n V_0)|j\rangle_n = \mathcal{E}_j|j\rangle_n \ , \tag{45}$$

where $\mathcal{E}_j = \hbar\omega_n(j+1/2)$. Taking the variation of both sides of this equation and computing the inner product with $|\ell\rangle_n$, we find

$${}_n\langle\ell|\delta|j\rangle_n = \frac{{}_n\langle\ell|V_0|j\rangle_n\,(\delta\beta_n)}{\mathcal{E}_j - \mathcal{E}_\ell} \qquad \text{for} \quad \ell \neq j \ . \tag{46}$$

Furthermore, using the fact that the eigenfunctions $\langle x|\ell\rangle_n$ are real, we can easily show that

$${}_n\langle\ell|(\delta|\ell\rangle_n) = 0 \ . \tag{47}$$

Eqs. (44), (46) and (47) reduce the computation of δW to that of

$${}_n\langle\ell|V_0|n\rangle_n = \frac{k}{2}\ {}_n\langle\ell|x^2|n\rangle_n \ . \tag{48}$$

We have already computed ${}_n\langle\ell|x^2|n\rangle_n$ in Eq. (39). Substituting this equation in Eq. (48) and using Eqs. (46) and (44), we find, after some remarkable cancellations,

$$\delta W = \left(\frac{\delta\mathcal{E}}{2\mathcal{E}}\right)\left[\sqrt{n(n-1)}\ {}_n\langle n|V|n-2\rangle_n - \sqrt{(n+1)(n+2)}\ {}_n\langle n|V|n+2\rangle_n\right] \ . \tag{49}$$

Now, in view of Eqs. (43) and (49),

$$\frac{dE_n}{d\mathcal{E}} = \left(\frac{1}{2\mathcal{E}}\right)\left[\sqrt{n(n-1)}\ {}_n\langle n|V|n-2\rangle_n - \sqrt{(n+1)(n+2)}\ {}_n\langle n|V|n+2\rangle_n\right] + \frac{1}{2} \ .$$

Substituting this equation in Eq. (26) yields

$$\mathcal{E} = \sqrt{(n+1)(n+2)}\ {}_n\langle n|V|n+2\rangle_n - \sqrt{n(n-1)}\ {}_n\langle n|V|n-2\rangle_n \ . \tag{50}$$

Note that the right-hand side of this equation also involves $\mathcal{E}$. This is because $|\ell\rangle_n$ depend on $\mathcal{E}$.

Using Eqs. (50) and (43) we can express the energy eigenvalue E_n in terms of V. This yields

$$E_n = \ {}_n\langle n|V|n\rangle_n + \frac{1}{2}\ \left[\sqrt{(n+1)(n+2)}\ {}_n\langle n|V|n+2\rangle_n - \sqrt{n(n-1)}\ {}_n\langle n|V|n-2\rangle_n\right] \ . \tag{51}$$

For the ground state $n = 0$ and Eq. (51) reduces to

$$E_0 = \ {}_0\langle 0|V|0\rangle_0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\ {}_0\langle 0|V|2\rangle_0 \ . \tag{52}$$

Note that the vectors $|\ell\rangle_n$ appearing in Eqs. (51) and (52) are those of Eq. (31) with $\mathcal{E}$ being a solution of Eq. (50).

Eqs. (51) and (52) are of limited importance. In practice, one obtains the energy eigenvalue E_n by substituting the solution of Eq. (50) in Eq. (43).

The variational Sturmian approximation of order zero, as outlined above, is a valid approximation scheme, if Eq. (50) has a unique positive solution $\mathcal{E}$. If such a solution does not exist, one may attempt to construct higher order variational Sturmian approximations. As we shall see in section V, for all the potentials that we have considered, Eq. (50) has a unique positive solution. This is very remarkable, for this equation turns out to be an algebraic equation of order three for the quartic anharmonic oscillator and the quartic potential, and of order four for the Gaussian potential.

Variational Sturmian Approximation of Order One

In the variational sturmian approximation of order one, the number of Sturmians contributing to the eigenvector $|E\rangle$ is two. We shall denote them by $|\phi_n\rangle$ and $|\phi_m\rangle$.

The matrices T and W are Hermitian 2×2 matrices. They can be written in the form

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t^* \\ t & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad W = \begin{pmatrix} v_n & w^* \\ w & v_m \end{pmatrix}, \tag{53}$$

where we have used the fact that the Sturmians are normalized and introduced

$$t := \langle \phi_m | \phi_n \rangle, \quad v_\nu := \langle \phi_\nu | V | \phi_\nu \rangle, \quad w := \langle \phi_m | V | \phi_n \rangle. \tag{54}$$

Next, we construct the matrix S. In view of Eqs. (17) and (53),

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} v_n - \beta_n N_n & w^* \\ w & v_m - \beta_m N_m \end{pmatrix}. \tag{55}$$

Note that because the Sturmians for the harmonic oscillator are real-valued, t and w are real-valued functions of the parameter $\mathcal{E}$. In particular, the matrices T, W and S are real and symmetric.

Substituting Eqs. (53) and (55) in the Eq. (19), making use of Eq. (40), and simplifying the resulting expression, we find

$$A(E - \frac{\mathcal{E}}{2})^2 + B(E - \frac{\mathcal{E}}{2}) + C = 0 \,, \tag{56}$$

where

$$A := 1 - t^2, \quad B := t(t\mathcal{E} + 2w) - (v_n + v_m), \quad C := v_n v_m - (\frac{t\mathcal{E}}{2} + w)^2. \tag{57}$$

Note that the coefficients A, B, and C are functions of $\mathcal{E}$. Eq. (56) can be easily solved to express E in terms of $\mathcal{E}$. The result is

$$E = E_\pm := \frac{\mathcal{E}}{2} + \frac{-B \pm \sqrt{B^2 - 4AC}}{2A} \,. \tag{58}$$

The next step is to determine $\mathcal{E}$ using the variational principle, i.e., setting $dE/d\mathcal{E} = 0$. The resulting formulas are complicated and we shall not include them here.

We conclude this section with the following remarks.

1. As seen from Eq. (58), the first order Sturmian approximation leads to a pair of energy eigenvalues. For the potentials which are related to V_0 via a limiting process, one expects these two eigenvalues to be those labelled by m and n. That is, for $n < m$,

$$E_n = E_-, \quad E_m = E_+ \,. \tag{59}$$

2. In the variational Sturmian approximation of order one, there are two variational equations $dE_\pm/d\mathcal{E} = 0$. It is not clear whether these equations lead to a unique minimum for $E_\pm(\mathcal{E})$ with a positive value for $\mathcal{E}$. As we shall show in the following sections, for all the specific examples that we have considered each of these equations lead to a unique minimum with a positive value for $\mathcal{E}$. Lack of such a solution may be interpreted as the failure of the variational Sturmian approximation of order one.

Variational Sturmian Approximation of Order Two

In the variational sturmian approximation of order two, one uses three Sturmians to expand the energy eigenvectors $|E\rangle$. We shall denote these by $|\phi_{n_\ell}\rangle$ where $n_\ell \in \mathcal{S}_3 := \{n_1, n_2, n_3\}$.

The matrices T, W, and S are given by

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t_1^* & t_2^* \\ t_1 & 1 & t_3^* \\ t_2 & t_3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad W = \begin{pmatrix} v_3 & w_1^* & w_2^* \\ w_1 & v_2 & w_3^* \\ w_2 & w_3 & v_1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{60}$$

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} v_3 - \beta_{n_3} N_{n_3} & w_1^* & w_2^* \\ w_1 & v_2 - \beta_{n_2} N_{n_2} & w_3^* \\ w_2 & w_3 & v_1 - \beta_{n_1} N_{n_1} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{61}$$

where we have used the fact that $|\phi_{n_\ell}\rangle$ are normalized and introduced

$$t_1 := \langle \phi_{n_2} | \phi_{n_3} \rangle, \quad t_2 := \langle \phi_{n_1} | \phi_{n_3} \rangle, \quad t_3 := \langle \phi_{n_1} | \phi_{n_2} \rangle, \quad v_\ell := \langle \phi_{n_\ell} | V | \phi_{n_\ell} \rangle, \tag{62}$$

$$w_1 := \langle \phi_{n_2} | V | \phi_{n_3} \rangle, \quad w_2 := \langle \phi_{n_1} | V | \phi_{n_3} \rangle, \quad w_3 := \langle \phi_{n_1} | V | \phi_{n_2} \rangle. \tag{63}$$

Because the harmonic oscillator Sturmian functions are real-valued, t_ℓ, v_ℓ and w_ℓ are real, and T, W, and S are real symmetric matrices.

In view of Eq. (40), we can write the secular equation (19) in the form

$$A(E - \frac{\mathcal{E}}{2})^3 + B(E - \frac{\mathcal{E}}{2})^2 + C(E - \frac{\mathcal{E}}{2}) + D = 0 \,, \tag{64}$$

where

$$A := 1 - \sum_{\ell=1}^{3} t_\ell^2 + 2t_1 t_2 t_3 \,, \tag{65}$$

$$B := \sum_{\ell=1}^{3} [(t_\ell^2 - 1)v_\ell + 2t_\ell \xi_\ell] - 2(t_1 t_2 \xi_3 + t_3 t_1 \xi_2 + t_2 t_3 \xi_1) \,, \tag{66}$$

$$C := v_1 v_2 + v_2 v_3 + v_3 v_1 + 2(t_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 + t_3 \xi_1 \xi_2 + t_2 \xi_3 \xi_1) - \sum_{\ell=1}^{3} (\xi_\ell^2 + 2t_\ell v_\ell \xi_\ell) \,, \tag{67}$$

$$D := \sum_{\ell=1}^{3} v_\ell \xi_\ell^2 - 2\xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 - v_1 v_2 V_3 \,, \tag{68}$$

$$\xi_\ell := \frac{1}{2} t_\ell \mathcal{E} + w_\ell \,. \tag{69}$$

Eq. (64) has, in general, three solutions. The desired eigenvalues are the minima of these solutions corresponding to positive values of $\mathcal{E}$. Again for the cases where V is related to V_0 by a limiting process the minima of the solutions of Eq. (64) correspond to E_{n_1}, E_{n_2}, and E_{n_3}.

V. Applications

In this section, we apply our general results to compute the energy eigenvalues of a quartic anharmonic oscillator, a quartic potential, and a Gaussian potential.

The Quartic Anharmonic Oscillator

Consider the potential

$$V(x) = \frac{k}{2}\, x^2 + \epsilon x^4 \,. \tag{70}$$

In order to obtain the energy levels of this potential using variational Sturmian approximation of order zero, we need to calculate ${}_n\langle n|V|\ell\rangle_n$. We first use Eqs. (38) to compute

$$\begin{aligned} {}_n\langle n|x^4|\ell\rangle_n \;=\; & (2\alpha_n)^{-2}\Big[3(2n^2+2n+1)\delta_{\ell,n} + 4(n+1)\sqrt{(n+1)(n+2)}\,\delta_{\ell,n+2} + \\ & 2(2n-1)\sqrt{n(n-1)}\,\delta_{\ell,n-2} + \sqrt{(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)(n+4)}\,\delta_{\ell,n+4} + \\ & \sqrt{(n-3)(n-2)(n-1)n}\,\delta_{\ell,n-4}\Big]\,. \end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

In view of this equation and Eqs. (39) and (70),

$$W = {}_n\langle n|V|n\rangle_n = \frac{(2n+1)k}{4\alpha_n} + \frac{3(2n^2+2n+1)\epsilon}{4\alpha_n^2}\,, \tag{72}$$

$${}_n\langle n|V|n+2\rangle_n = \sqrt{(n+1)(n+2)}\,\left(\frac{k}{4\alpha_n} + \frac{(n+1)\epsilon}{\alpha_n^2}\right)\,, \tag{73}$$

$${}_n\langle n|V|n-2\rangle_n = \sqrt{n(n-1)}\,\left(\frac{k}{4\alpha_n} + \frac{(2n-1)\epsilon}{2\alpha_n^2}\right)\,, \tag{74}$$

Next, we substitute Eqs. (73) and (74) in Eq. (50). Using Eq. (37), we then obtain

$$\mathcal{E}^3 - p_n\mathcal{E} - q_n = 0\,, \tag{75}$$

where

$$p_n := \left(\frac{\hbar^2 k}{m}\right)(n+\frac{1}{2})^2, \qquad q_n := \left(\frac{\hbar^4\epsilon}{2m^2}\right)(n+\frac{1}{2})^2(11n^2+9n+4)\,. \tag{76}$$

It is not difficult to show that Eq. (75) has a single positive solution [This is true for any positive p_n and q_n.] given by [9]

$$\mathcal{E} = \left(\frac{q_n}{2}\right)^{1/3}\left(1+\sqrt{1-r_n}\right)^{1/3} + \left(\frac{p_n}{3}\right)\left(\frac{2}{q_n}\right)^{1/3}\left(1+\sqrt{1-r_n}\right)^{-1/3}\,, \tag{77}$$

where

$$r_n := \frac{4p_n^3}{27q_n^2} = \left(\frac{8n+4}{11n^2+9n+4}\right)^2 r_0\,, \qquad r_0 := \frac{mk^3}{108\hbar^2\epsilon^2}\,. \tag{78}$$

The right-hand side of Eq. (77) is manifestly real and positive for $r_n \le 1$. It is not difficult to check that it is also real and positive for $r_n > 1$. In fact, we can express $\mathcal{E}$ in the form

$$\mathcal{E} = 2\sqrt{\frac{p_n}{3}}\cos\left(\frac{\phi_n}{3}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{k}{3m}}(2n+1)\cos\left(\frac{\phi_n}{3}\right)\,, \tag{79}$$

where

$$\phi_n := \tan^{-1}(\sqrt{r_n - 1})\,. \tag{80}$$

Note that for $r_n < 1$, ϕ_n is imaginary, but $\cos(\phi_n/3)$ is still real and positive.

Having fixed the parameter $\mathcal{E}$, we can determine the energy eigenvalues E_n using Eqs. (43) and (72). We first use Eqs.(37) and (79) to compute

$$\alpha_n = \left(\frac{2}{\hbar}\right)\sqrt{\frac{mk}{3}}\cos\left(\frac{\phi_n}{3}\right)\,. \tag{81}$$

Then substituting this equation in Eq. (72) and using Eq. (43), we find

$$E_n = \left(\frac{\hbar}{24}\right)\sqrt{\frac{3k}{m}}(2n+1)\left[7+3\tan^2(\frac{\phi_n}{3})\right]\cos(\frac{\phi_n}{3}) + \left(\frac{9\hbar^2\epsilon}{16mk}\right)(2n^2+2n+1)\left[1+\tan^2(\frac{\phi_n}{3})\right]\,. \tag{82}$$

In particular, the ground state energy is given by

$$E_0 = \left(\frac{\hbar}{24}\right)\sqrt{\frac{3k}{m}}\left[7+3\tan^2(\frac{\phi_0}{3})\right]\cos(\frac{\phi_0}{3}) + \left(\frac{9\hbar^2\epsilon}{16mk}\right)\left[1+\tan^2(\frac{\phi_0}{3})\right] \ . \tag{83}$$

In Table 1, we list the numerical values obtained using Eq. (82) for the first 10 energy levels of a quartic anharmonic oscillator with $m = 1/2$, $k = 2$, $\epsilon = 1/10$ in units where $\hbar = 1$. This table also includes the accurate numerical values of Ref. [10], the values obtained using the conventional Sturmian approximation and the zero and first order perturbation theory.[The zero and first order perturbation theory yield

$$E_n^{(0)} = \hbar(k/m)^{1/2}(n+1/2)\ , \qquad E_n^{(1)} = E_n^{(0)} + 3\hbar^2\epsilon^2(2n^2+2n+1)/(4mk)\,, \tag{84}$$

respectively.] The relative difference between the results of the variational Sturmian approximation of order zero with the highly accurate numerical results ($E_n^{\#}$) of Ref. [10], i.e., the quantity $|E_n - E_n^{\#}|/E_n^{\#}$, varies between 3.38×10^{-4} and 2.77×10^{-3}. For the ground state, this number is 1.53×10^{-3}. Even for the lowest lying energy levels where perturbation theory yields reliable results, the zero order variational Sturmian approximation produces more accurate values than both the zero and first order perturbation theory. As seen from Table 1, the variational Sturmian approximation is better than the conventional Sturmian approximation.

In the remainder of this section we present the results obtained using the first and second order variational Sturmian approximation. The numerical results are respectively presented in Tables 2 and 3.

As we explained in Section IV, in the variational Sturmian approximation of order one one chooses an indexing set $\mathcal{S}_2$ consisting of two Sturmians to be included in the expansion of the eigenvector $|E\rangle$. One then solves the corresponding secular equation (19), expresses the solutions $E_\pm$ in terms of the parameter $\mathcal{E}$, and finds the minima of $E_\pm(\mathcal{E})$. In general, $E_\pm$ are complicated functions of $\mathcal{E}$. However, it turns out that for all the cases that we considered $E_\pm$ has a unique minimum corresponding to a positive value of $\mathcal{E}$.

In order to choose the indexing set $\mathcal{S}_2$, we first note that the Sturmian functions $\langle x|\phi_n\rangle$ with even (respectively odd) n are even (respectively odd) functions of x. We expect the energy eigenfunctions of the anharmonic oscillator (70) to have the same parity structure as the Sturmian functions. This, in particular, suggests that in the calculation of E_0 we should take $\mathcal{S}_2 = \{0, 2\}$.

For a quartic anharmonic oscillator with $m = 1/2$, $k = 2$, $\epsilon = 1/10$, the first order variational Sturmian approximation corresponding to $\mathcal{S}_2 = \{0, 2\}$ yields $E_0 = E_- = 1.06614$ and $E_2 = E_+ = 5.76117$. The value obtained for E_0 differs from the accurate numerical value by one part in 10^4. It is one order of magnitude better than the value obtained using the zero order variational Sturmian approximation. The value for E_2 is however less accurate. One may argue that the choice made for $\mathcal{S}_2$ is appropriate only for the ground state. In order to compute E_2 using the first order variational Sturmian approximation, one may alternatively choose $\mathcal{S}_2 = \{2, 4\}$. This choice yields $E_2 = E_- = 5.74558$ and $E_4 = E_+ = 9.6637$. Again this value for E_2 is an order of magnitude better than the value obtained using the zero order variational Sturmian approximation, whereas the value for E_4 is less accurate. One can also try $\mathcal{S}_2 = \{0, 4\}$. As expected, this choice yields a less accurate value than the choices $\mathcal{S}_2 = \{0, 2\}$ and $\mathcal{S}_2 = \{2, 4\}$ for both E_0 and E_4.

For the calculation of the first excited state we choose $\mathcal{S}_2 = \{1, 3\}$. Then we find $E_1 = E_- = 3.30922$ and $E_3 = E_+ = 8.37284$. Once again the first order variational Sturmian approximation of order one with the choice $\mathcal{S}_2 = \{1, 3\}$ yields a more accurate result for E_1 and a less accurate result for E_3.

In general, in the calculation of the energy levels E_n with $n \geq 2$, there are two alternative choices for the indexing set $\mathcal{S}_2$. In view of the parity properties of the eigenvectors, these are $\{n, n+2\}$ and $\{n-2, n\}$. The fact that there is no physical reason to distinguish between these two choices suggests that for these levels one should consider the second order variational Sturmian approximation with the choice $\mathcal{S}_3 = \{n-2, n, n+2\}$.

Table 3 includes the results of the second order variational Sturmian approximation corresponding to the indexing set $\mathcal{S}_3 = \{0, 2, 4\}$. This approximation yields more accurate values for E_0 than the zero and first order variational Sturmian approximations. However, contrary to our expectation the value obtained for E_2 is less accurate than the one given by the zero order approximation and the first order approximation with $\mathcal{S}_2 = \{2, 4\}$.

The Quartic Potential

Consider the quartic potential

$$V(x) = \epsilon\, x^4 \,. \tag{85}$$

We can easily obtain the energy levels of this potential using the zero order variational Sturmian approximation by simply setting $k = 0$ in our formulas for the quartic anharmonic oscillator. Substituting $k = 0$ in (76), we can write Eq. (75) in the form

$$\mathcal{E} = q_n^{1/3} = \hbar \left(\frac{\hbar\epsilon}{2m^2}\right)^{1/3} \left[(n+\frac{1}{2})^2(11n^2+9n+4)\right]^{1/3} \,. \tag{86}$$

In view of Eqs. (37, (43), (72), (86), and $k = 0$, we have

$$\alpha_n = \hbar^{-1}\,(m\hbar\epsilon)^{1/3} \left(\frac{11n^2+9n+4}{2n+1}\right)^{1/3} \,, \tag{87}$$

$$E_n = \left(\frac{8n+4}{11n^2+9n+4}\right)^{2/3} \left(\frac{17}{7}n^2+\frac{15}{7}n+1\right) E_0 \,, \tag{88}$$

$$E_0 := \frac{7\hbar}{8}\left(\frac{\hbar\epsilon}{2m^2}\right)^{1/3} \,. \tag{89}$$

In Table 4, we present the values obtained using Eq. (88) for the energy levels of a quartic potential with $m = 1/2$ and $\epsilon = 1$ in units where $\hbar = 1$. This table also includes accurate numerical results $E_n^{\#}$ and the results of the zero and first order WKB approximation given in Refs. [11, 12]. The relative difference $|E_n - E_n^{\#}|/E_n^{\#}$ is about 0.04 for the ground state and ranges between 6.4×10^{-4} and 8.7×10^{-3} for the energy levels $E_2, E_4, E_6, E_8, E_{10}$ and E_{16}.

Table 5 includes the results of the first and second order variational Sturmian approximation for E_0, E_2, and E_4.

The Gaussian Potential

Consider the Gaussian potential

$$V(x) = -\lambda\, e^{-\epsilon x^2/2} \,, . \tag{90}$$

In order to apply the results of section IV to this potential, we write $V(x) = \tilde{V}(x) - \lambda$ where

$$\tilde{V}(x) = \lambda(1 - e^{-\epsilon x^2/2}) \,. \tag{91}$$

Then as ϵ tends to zero, $\tilde{V}(x)$ approaches to the harmonic oscillator potential (27) with $k = \lambda\epsilon$.

Clearly, the energy eigenvalues associated with V and $\tilde{V}$ are related by

$$E_n = \tilde{E}_n - \lambda \,. \tag{92}$$

In the following we use the zero order variational Sturmian approximation to obtain the ground state energy of the potential $\tilde{V}$. The excited energy levels can be obtained similarly.

We first note that for the ground state $n = 0$, and Eq. (50) for the potential $\tilde{V}$ takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E} &= \sqrt{2}\ {}_0\langle 0|\tilde{V}|2\rangle_0 = \sqrt{2}\ {}_0\langle 0|V|2\rangle_0 = -\sqrt{2}\lambda\ {}_0\langle 0|e^{-\epsilon x^2/2}|2\rangle_0 \\ &= -\sqrt{2}\lambda \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} {}_0\langle 0|x\rangle e^{-\epsilon x^2/2}\langle x|2\rangle_0\, dx\ . \end{aligned} \tag{93}$$

We can evaluate the right-hand side of (93) using the well-known expression for the eigenfunctions of the harmonic oscillator, namely (32) and

$$\langle x|2\rangle_0 = \left(\frac{\alpha_0}{4\pi}\right)^{1/4} (2\alpha_0 x^2 - 1)e^{-\alpha_0 x^2/2}\ . \tag{94}$$

Substituting Eqs. (32) and (94) in Eq. (93) and performing the necessary calculations, we find

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{E} + p)^3 = \lambda^2 p^2\ , \tag{95}$$

where

$$p := \frac{\hbar^2\epsilon}{4m}\ . \tag{96}$$

Introducing

$$\eta := 1 + \frac{\mathcal{E}}{p}\,, \tag{97}$$

we can write Eq. (95) in the form

$$f(\eta) := \eta^4 - \eta^3 - r = 0\ , \tag{98}$$

where

$$r := \frac{\lambda^2}{p^2} = \frac{16\lambda^2 m^2}{\hbar^4\epsilon^2}\ . \tag{99}$$

It is not difficult to show that for all $r > 0$, $f(\eta)$ has a single minimum at $\eta = 3/4$. The minimum is $f(3/4) = -(27/256 + r) < 0$. Furthermore, $f(0) = f(1) = -r < 0$ and $\lim_{\eta\to\infty} f(\eta) = \infty$. Therefore, $f(\eta)$ has a single positive root that is greater than 1. This root is given by

$$\eta_\star = \frac{1}{4}\left(1 + 2\xi + \sqrt{3 - 4\xi^2 + \xi^{-1}}\right)\ , \tag{100}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\xi &:= \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 - a + b}, \qquad a := \frac{3}{2}\,\zeta(1 + \sqrt{1 + \zeta^3})^{1/3}, \\ b &:= \frac{3}{2}\,\zeta(-1 + \sqrt{1 + \zeta^3})^{1/3}, \qquad \zeta := \frac{4}{3}\,(4r)^{1/3}\ . \end{aligned}$$

In view of Eq. (97) and the fact that $\eta_0 > 1$, Eq. (95) has a single positive solution, namely

$$\mathcal{E} = p(\eta_\star - 1). \tag{101}$$

Having obtained the parameter $\mathcal{E}$, we next compute

$$W = {}_0\langle 0|\tilde{V}|0\rangle_0 = \lambda\left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \eta_\star^{-1}}\right)\ . \tag{102}$$

Here we have made use of Eqs. (32), (37), (91), (96), and (101). Substituting this equation and Eq. (101) in Eq. (43) and using Eqs. (92) and (99), we find the ground state energy of the Gaussian potential (90) to be

$$E_0 = \tilde{E}_0 - \lambda = -\lambda\left[\sqrt{1 - \eta_\star^{-1}} + \frac{1 - \eta_\star}{2\sqrt{r}}\right]\ . \tag{103}$$

In order to reveal the asymptotic behavior of E_0, we investigate the power series expansion of the right-hand side of Eq. (103).

For $r \gg 1$, i.e., $\frac{\epsilon}{\lambda} \to 0$,

$$E_0 = -\lambda[1 - r^{-1/4} + \frac{3}{8}\, r^{-1/2} - \frac{1}{32}\, r^{-3/4} - \frac{1}{128}\, r^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-5/4})] \,. \tag{104}$$

For $r \ll 1$, i.e., $\frac{\epsilon}{\lambda} \to \infty$,

$$E_0 = -(\frac{\lambda\sqrt{r}}{2})[1 - r + 3r^2 - 13r^3 + 68r^4 + \mathcal{O}(r^5)] \,. \tag{105}$$

Therefore, for fixed λ,

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0^+} E_0 = -\lambda \,, \tag{106}$$

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to\infty} E_0 = \lim_{\epsilon\to\infty}\left[-\frac{4m^2\lambda^2}{\hbar^2\epsilon}\right] = 0^- \,, \tag{107}$$

and for fixed ϵ,

$$\lim_{\lambda\to 0^+} E_0 = \lim_{\lambda\to 0}\left[-\frac{4m^2\lambda^2}{\hbar^2\epsilon}\right] = 0^- \,, \tag{108}$$

$$\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} E_0 = -\infty \,. \tag{109}$$

Clearly, the asymptotic behavior of E_0, as given by Eqs. (106) – (109), agrees with the qualitative analysis of the eigenvalue problem for the Gaussian potential.

It is not difficult to see that in the limit $\epsilon \to 0$ perturbation theory provides reliable results. Writing the Gaussian potential (90) in the form

$$V = V_0 + \delta V \,, \tag{110}$$

with V_0 given by Eq. (23) and performing the standard calculations [1], we find that the zero and first order perturbation theory yield respectively

$$E_0^{(0)} = -\lambda(1 - r^{-1/4}) \,, \tag{111}$$

$$E_0^{(1)} = -\lambda(1 + r^{-1/4})^{-1/2} = -\lambda[1 - \frac{1}{2}\, r^{-1/4} + \frac{3}{8}\, r^{-1/2} - \frac{5}{16}\, r^{-3/4} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-1})] \,. \tag{112}$$

Here $E_0^{(\ell)}$ is the ground state energy for the Gaussian potential (90) obtained using the ℓ-th order perturbation theory.

Comparing Eq. (104) with Eqs. (111) and (112), one finds that in the pertubative region where $r \gg 1$, the variational Sturmian approximation of order zero agrees with the results of the perturbation theory. In fact, since by construction E_0 is the expectation value of the energy of the Sturmian $|\phi_0\rangle$, the fact that $E_0 < E_0^{(0)}$ shows that even in the pertubative region the variational Sturmian approximation of order zero is a better approximation than the zero order perturbation theory. By the same reasoning, because $E_0 > E_0^{(1)}$, the first order perturbation theory yields a better result. Note however that the wave function obtained in the first order perturbation theory is an infinite sum whereas the wave function in the zero order Sturmian approximation is given explicitly.

Another interesting limit is the delta function limit of the potential V where $\lambda = a\sqrt{\epsilon/(2\pi)}$, $\epsilon \to \infty$, and $V(x) \to -a\delta(x)$. Here a is a fixed coupling constant. In this limit $r \to 0$ and the ground state energy is given by Eq. (105) according to

$$E_0 = -\frac{ma^2}{\pi\hbar^2} \,. \tag{113}$$

This result has the same order of magnitude as the exact result:

$$E_0 = -\frac{ma^2}{2\hbar^2} \,. \tag{114}$$

VI. Discussion and Conclusion

We have outlined a variationally improved Sturmian approximation and applied our results to the harmonic oscillator Sturmians. For these Sturmians we could solve the associated variational problem in the zero order Sturmian approximation exactly. We have used our variational Sturmian approximation in the calculation of the energy levels of various potentials. We have shown that using a few harmonic oscillator Sturmians, one obtains quite reliable results. In general, the variational Sturmian approximation is a better approximation than the conventional Sturmian approximation.

Because the harmonic oscillator potential is a confining potential, we expect that the method is more suitable for the confining potentials such as the quartic anharmonic oscillator and the quartic potential. We can base this argument on a more quantitative reasoning by addressing the problem of classifying the potentials for which the Sturmian approximation is exact. It is not difficult to show that these potentials satisfy

$$V(\vec{x}) = E - \mathcal{E} + \left(\frac{\sum_{\nu=0}^{N} \sum_{\alpha} C_\nu^\alpha \beta_\nu \phi_{\nu,\alpha}(\vec{x})}{\sum_{\nu=0}^{N} C_\nu^\alpha \phi_{\nu,\alpha}(\vec{x})} \right) V_0(\vec{x}) \,, \tag{115}$$

where E, $\mathcal{E}$ and C_ν^α are constants and $\phi_{\nu,\alpha}(\vec{x}) := \langle \vec{x} | \phi_\nu, \alpha \rangle$. Eq. (115) follows from Eqs. (1), (2), (3), and (11).

For example, the potentials for which the first order harmonic oscillator Sturmian approximation with $\mathcal{S}_2 = \{0, 2\}$ yields an exact eigenfunction are of the form

$$V(x) = E - \frac{\hbar^2 \alpha_0}{2m} + \left(\frac{\hbar^2 \alpha_0^2}{2m} \right) \left[\frac{e^{-2\alpha_0 x^2/5} + (\frac{\zeta}{5})(2\alpha_0\, x^2 - 5)}{e^{-2\alpha_0 x^2/5} + 5\zeta(2\alpha_0\, x^2 - 5)} \right] x^2 \,, \tag{116}$$

where α_0 is a real parameter with the dimension of (length)$^{-2}$ and ζ is a dimensionless real parameter. As seen from Eq. (116), these potentials tend to the harmonic oscillator potential for $|x| \to \infty$. In particular, as $|x| \to \infty$, $V \to \infty$. This asymptotic behaviour is also valid for higher order harmonic oscillator Sturmian approximations. This observation shows that the harmonic oscillator Sturmian approximation is more reliable for confining potentials.

We conclude this paper with a couple of remarks.

1. The variational principle used in the variational Sturmian approximation leads to an algebraic (nondifferential) equation for the parameter $\mathcal{E}$. The acceptable solutions for this equation are those which are real and positive. The fact that for all the cases we consider there is a unique real positive solution corresponding to each eigenvalue E_n is quite remarkable. This observation may be viewed as a consistency check for the Sturmian approximation.

2. In our selection of the Sturmians in the first and higher order Sturmian approximation, we used the information about the parity properties of the Sturmians and the energy eigenfunctions. For example we ruled out the first order variational Sturmian approximation with $\mathcal{S}_2 = \{0, 1\}$. If we perform the necessary calculations, we find that for this choice the functions t and w vanish identically and the matrices T and S are diagonal. Therefore, the secular equation (19) yields the same results as the zero order Sturmian approximation. This can also be seen from the results of Ref. [5].

References

[1] D. Bohm, *Quantum Theory* (Prentice-Hall, New York, 1951);
J. J. Sakurai, *Modern Quantum Mechanics* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1994).

[2] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Quantum Mechanics* (Butterworth Heinemann,oxford,1977).

[3] M. Rotenberg, Ann. Phy. **19**, 262 (1962).

[4] J. Avery and D. R. Herschbach, Int. J. Quant. Chem. **41**, 673 (1992);
J. Avery, J. Math. Chem. **21**, 285 (1997);
J. Avery and F. Antonsen, J. Math. Chem. **24**, 175 (1998);
J. Avery, Adv. Quant. Chem. **31**, 201 (1999);
J. Avery, J. Molecular Structure **458**, 1 (1999);
R. Szmytkowski, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **33**, 427 (2000).

[5] F. Antonsen, Phys. Rev. A **60**, 812 (1999).

[6] R. Szmytkowski and B. Zywicka-Mozeiko, Phys. Rev. A **62**, 2104 (2000).

[7] A. Messiyah, *Quantum Mechanics* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1964).

[8] E. J. Weniger, J. Math. Phys. **26**, 276 (1985).

[9] H. M. Edwards, *Galois Theory* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984).

[10] B. Bacus, Y. Meurice, and A. Soemadi, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **28**, L381 (1995).

[11] C. M. Bender, K. Olaussen, and P. S. Wang, Phys. Rev. D **16**, 1740 (1977).

[12] A. Voros, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **27**, 4653 (1994).

n	$E_n^{\#}$	E_n	$\frac{\lvert E_n - E_n^{\#}\rvert}{E_n^{\#}}$	E_n^{CSA}	$\frac{\lvert E_n^{CSA} - E_n^{\#}\rvert}{E_n^{\#}}$	$E_n^{(0)}$	$\frac{\lvert E_n^{(0)} - E_n^{\#}\rvert}{E_n^{\#}}$	$E_n^{(1)}$	$\frac{\lvert E_n^{(1)} - E_n^{\#}\rvert}{E_n^{\#}}$
0	1.065286	1.06692	1.5×10^{-3}	1.07500	9.1×10^{-3}	1.000	0.061	1.075	9.1×10^{-3}
1	3.306872	3.31182	1.5×10^{-3}	3.37500	0.021	3.000	0.032	3.450	0.043
2	5.747959	5.75052	4.5×10^{-4}	5.97500	0.040	5.000	0.13	5.975	0.039
3	8.352678	8.34985	3.4×10^{-4}	8.87500	0.063	7.000	0.16	8.875	0.063
4	11.09860	11.0881	9.5×10^{-4}	12.0750	0.088	9.000	0.19	12.08	0.088
5	13.96993	13.9499	1.4×10^{-3}	-	-	11.00	0.21	15.58	0.11
6	16.95479	16.9235	1.8×10^{-3}	-	-	13.00	0.23	19.38	0.14
7	20.04386	19.9998	2.2×10^{-3}	-	-	15.00	0.25	23.48	0.17
8	23.22955	23.1715	2.5×10^{-3}	-	-	17.00	0.27	27.88	0.20
9	26.50555	26.4322	2.8×10^{-3}	-	-	19.00	0.28	32.58	0.23

Table 1: First 10 energy levels of the Hamiltonian $H = p^2 + x^2 + \frac{x^4}{10}$ in units where $\hbar = 1$. $E_n^{\#}$ are the highly accurate numerical values of Ref. [10]. E_n are the values obtained using the zero order variational Sturmian approximation. E_n^{CSA} are the values obtained by the zero order conventional Sturmian approximation in Ref. [5]. $E_n^{(0)}$ and $E_n^{(1)}$ are the energy eigenvalues obtained using the zero and first order perturbation theory, respectively.

$\mathcal{S}_2$	E_0	δE_0	E_1	δE_1	E_2	δE_2	E_3	δE_3	E_4	δE_4
{0,2}	1.06614	8.0×10^{-4}	-	-	5.76117	2.3×10^{-3}	-	-	-	-
{1,3}	-	-	3.30922	7.1×10^{-4}	-	-	8.37284	2.4×10^{-3}	-	-
{2,4}	-	-	-	-	5.74558	4.1×10^{-4}	-	-	9.66370	0.13
{0,4}	1.06620	8.6×10^{-4}	-	-	-	-	-	-	9.64502	0.13

Table 2: Energy levels of the Hamiltonian $H = p^2 + x^2 + x^4/10$ obtained using the first order variational Sturmian approximation. δE_n stands for $|E_n - E_n^{\#}|/E_n^{\#}$.

n	$E_n^{\#}$	E_n	$\frac{\lvert E_n - E_n^{\#}\rvert}{E_n^{\#}}$
0	1.065286	1.06613	7.9×10^{-4}
2	5.75052	5.75275	8.3×10^{-4}
4	11.09860	9.68483	0.127

Table 3: Energy levels of the Hamiltonian $H = p^2 + x^2 + x^4/10$ obtained using the second order variational Sturmian approximation with the choice $\{0, 2, 4\}$ for the indexing set $\mathcal{S}_3$. $E_n^{\#}$ are the highly accurate numerical values of Ref. [10].

n	$E_n^{\#}$	E_n	$\frac{\lvert E_n - E_n^{\#}\rvert}{E_n^{\#}}$	$E_n^{(0)}$	$\frac{\lvert E_n^{\mathrm{WKB}(0)} - E_n^{\#}\rvert}{E_n^{\#}}$	$E_n^{(1)}$	$\frac{\lvert E_n^{\mathrm{WKB}(1)} - E_n^{\#}\rvert}{E_n^{\#}}$
0	1.060362	1.10243	0.040	0.87	0.17	0.98	0.076
1	-	3.86929	-	-	-	-	-
2	7.455697	7.46048	6.4×10^{-4}	7.4140	5.6×10^{-3}	7.4558	1.4×10^{-5}
3	-	11.6007	-	-	-	-	-
4	16.261826	16.1691	5.7×10^{-3}	16.233615	1.7×10^{-3}	16.261937	6.8×10^{-6}
6	26.528471	26.3349	7.3×10^{-3}	26.506336	8.3×10^{-4}	26.528513	1.9×10^{-5}
8	37.923001	37.6218	7.9×10^{-3}	37.904472	4.9×10^{-4}	37.923021	5.3×10^{-7}
10	50.256255	49.8404	8.3×10^{-3}	50.240152	3.1×10^{-4}	50.256266	2.2×10^{-7}
16	91.79806	91.0012	8.7×10^{-3}	-	-	-	-

Table 4: Energy levels of the Hamiltonian $H = p^2 + x^4$ in units where $\hbar = 1$. $E_n^{\#}$ are the highly accurate numerical values of Refs. [11, 12]. E_n are the values obtained using the zero order variational Sturmian approximation. $E_n^{\mathrm{WKB}(0)}$ and $E_n^{\mathrm{WKB}(1)}$ are the values obtained using the zero and first order WKB approximation [11], respectively.

N	$\mathcal{S}_N$	E_0	$\frac{\lvert E_0 - E_0^{\#}\rvert}{E_0^{\#}}$	E_2	$\frac{\lvert E_2 - E_2^{\#}\rvert}{E_2^{\#}}$	E_4	$\frac{\lvert E_4 - E_4^{\#}\rvert}{E_4^{\#}}$
2	{0,2}	1.08110	0.0196	7.60884	0.0205	-	-
2	{2,4}	-	-	7.42669	3.89×10^{-3}	16.4461	0.0113
2	{0,4}	1.08166	0.0200	-	-	16.4114	9.12×10^{-3}
3	{0,2,4}	1.08010	0.0195	7.56528	0.0147	16.5670	0.0188

Table 5: Energy levels of the Hamiltonian $H = p^2 + x^4$ obtained using the first and second order variational Sturmian approximation. N is the order of the approximation. $E_n^{\#}$ are the accurate numerical results reported in Ref. [11].